

# VARIATIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF THE REGULARITY OF MONGE-BRENIER MAPS

A. S. ÜSTÜNEL

**Abstract:** Let  $(W, H, \mu)$  be an abstract Wiener space, assume that  $T = I_W + \nabla\varphi$  is the solution of the Monge problem associated to the measures  $d\mu$  and  $d\nu = e^{-f}d\mu$ . Under the finite information hypothesis, using a variational method, we prove that  $\delta((I_H + \nabla^2\varphi)^{-1} - I_H) = \nabla\varphi + \nabla f \circ T$  and this result implies the Sobolev regularity of the backward Monge-Brenier map. A similar result also holds for the forward Monge-Brenier map.

**Keywords:** Entropy, adapted perturbation of identity, Wiener measure, Monge and Monge Kantorovich problems, Monge potential, Monge-Brenier map.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\nu$  be the probability measure defined by

$$d\nu = \frac{1}{c} e^{-f} d\mu$$

such that the relative entropy of  $\nu$  w.r.t. the Wiener measure  $\mu$ , denoted as  $H(\nu|\mu)$  is finite. Let  $\Sigma(\mu, \nu)$  be the set of the probability measures on  $(W \times W, \mathcal{B}(W \times W))$  whose first marginals are  $\mu$  and the second ones are  $\nu$ . Consider the problem of minimization which defines also a strong Wasserstein distance between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ :

$$\inf \left( \int_{W \times W} |x - y|_H^2 d\beta(x, y) : \beta \in \Sigma(\mu, \nu) \right) = d_2^2(\mu, \nu),$$

where  $|\cdot|_H$  denotes the Cameron-Martin norm. In the finite dimensional case this problem has been extensively studied since almost three centuries and we refer to the texts [14] and [20] for history and references and also to [3] and [13].

In the infinite dimensional case, where the cost function is very singular, in the sense that the set on which the cost function is finite has zero measure w.r.t. the product measure  $\mu \times \nu$  has been solved in a series of papers ([8, 9, 10]) and the answer can be summarized as follows: There exists a 1-convex function  $\varphi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , in the Gaussian Sobolev space  $\mathbb{D}_{2,1}$ , called Monge potential or Monge-Brenier map such that the above infimum is attained at  $\gamma = (I_W \times T)\mu$ , i.e., the image of the measure  $\mu$  under the map  $I_W \times T$ , where  $T = I_W + \nabla\varphi$ , where  $\nabla\varphi$  is the  $L^2(\mu)$ -extended derivative of  $\varphi$  in the direction of Cameron-Martin space. Moreover, there exists also a dual Monge potential  $\psi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which has an  $L^2(\nu)$ -extended derivative in the direction of Cameron-Martin space, such that, the map  $S = I_W + \nabla\psi$  satisfies  $(S \times I_W)\nu = (I_W \times T)\mu = \gamma$ , hence  $T \circ S = I_W$   $\nu$ -a.s. and  $S \circ T = I_W$   $\mu$ -a.s. The next important issue in this subject is to show the Sobolev regularity of the Monge-Brenier maps in such a way that one can write the Jacobian functions associated to the corresponding transformations  $T$  and  $S$ . In finite dimensional case this problem has been

treated by several authors (cf. [4] and the references given in [20]). In the infinite dimensional case there are also some results (cf. [2, 6, 11]) which are generalizations of the results given in [9, 10]. These results are generally the suitable extensions to the finite dimensional case of those which were developed especially by L. Caffarelli, though we have also given another method to calculate the Jacobian functions in infinite dimensions using the Itô calculus.

In this work we shall present a totally different method, namely, we shall prove the Sobolev regularity of the Monge-Brenier functions using the Calculus of variations. Let us begin by recalling a celebrated variational formula, which holds on any measurable space but we formulate on a Wiener space for the notational simplicity:

$$(1.1) \quad -\log \int_W e^{-f} d\mu = \inf \left( \int_W f d\gamma + H(\gamma|\mu) : \gamma \in M_1(W) \right)$$

where  $M_1(W)$  denotes the set of probability measures on  $(W, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  being the Borel sigma field of  $W$ ,  $\nu, f, \mu$  are as described above. The infimum is attained at  $\nu$  provided that  $H(\nu|\mu)$  is finite, cf. [17]. On the other hand, we know from [8] that there exists some  $\varphi \in \mathbb{D}_{2,1}$ , 1-convex function such that  $(I_W + \nabla\varphi)\mu = \nu$ , where we use the same notation for the image of a point and of a measure under a measurable map (here the map under question is  $I_W + \nabla\varphi$ ). Consequently the following identity holds true:

$$-\log \int e^{-f} d\mu = \inf \left( \int f \circ M d\mu + H(M\mu|\mu) : M = I_W + \nabla a, a \in \mathbb{D}_{2,1} \right).$$

Therefore

$$(1.2) \quad -\log \int e^{-f} d\mu \geq \inf \left( \int f \circ (I_W + \xi) d\mu + H((I_W + \xi)\mu|\mu) : \xi \in \mathbb{D}_{2,0}(H) \right).$$

For this infimum to be finite we need that  $H((I_W + \xi)\mu|\mu) < \infty$ , which implies  $(I_W + \xi)\mu \ll \mu$ . Besides the right hand side of the inequality (1.2) is always greater than

$$\inf \left( \int f d\gamma + H(\gamma|\mu) : \gamma \in M_1(W) \right),$$

therefore we have equality between all these expressions:

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $H(\nu|\mu) < \infty$ , where  $d\nu = (E[e^{-f}])^{-1}e^{-f}d\mu$  and  $f$  is a measurable function. Then the infimum*

$$\begin{aligned} J_f^* &= \inf(J_f(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{D}_{2,0}(H)) \\ &= \inf \left( \int f \circ (I_W + \xi) d\mu + H((I_W + \xi)\mu|\mu) : \xi \in \mathbb{D}_{2,0}(H) \right) \end{aligned}$$

*is attained at the vector field  $\xi = \nabla\varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is the unique (up to an additive constant) Monge potential such that  $(I_W + \nabla\varphi)\mu = \nu$  and that the  $L^2(\mu, H)$ -norm of  $\nabla\varphi$  is equal to the Wasserstein distance between  $\nu$  and  $\mu$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} d_H^2(\mu, \nu) &= \inf \left( \int_{W \times W} |x - y|_H^2 d\gamma(x, y) : \gamma \in \Sigma_1(\mu, \nu) \right) \\ &= \int_W |\nabla\varphi|_H^2 d\mu \end{aligned}$$

*where  $\Sigma_1(\mu, \nu)$  denotes the set of probability measures on  $W \times W$ , whose first marginals are  $\mu$  and the second ones are  $\nu$ .*

Note that if we could apply the variational principle above, namely, by taking the derivative of the functional  $J_f$  at the minimizing vector field  $\nabla\varphi$  in any admissible direction, we would obtain the following relation:

$$\delta((I_H + \nabla^2\varphi)^{-1} - I_H) = \nabla\varphi + \nabla f \circ (I_W + \nabla\varphi),$$

where  $\delta$  denotes the Gaussian divergence, i.e., the adjoint of the derivative  $\nabla$  w.r.t. the Gaussian measure  $\mu$  and this equation implies Sobolev regularity of  $\varphi$ . A similar method can be used for the dual Monge potential  $\psi$  also. We shall realize this program in the sequel beginning from the finite dimensions and passing to the infinite dimensional case by a limiting argument. Let us not that this method is applicable in other situations than the Gaussian case also as one can see already in the case of dual potential.

Let us resume the following important observation: this work is devoted to the creation of a variational calculus by parametrizing the formula 1.1 with the vector fields which are derivatives of scalar functionals. In another work, which has already appeared, [18], we have parametrized the same formula with adapted vector fields to obtain totally different results, like the existence, uniqueness and non-existence results of stochastic differential equations with past depending drift coefficients.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $W$  be a separable Fréchet space equipped with a Gaussian measure  $\mu$  of zero mean whose support is the whole space<sup>1</sup>. The corresponding Cameron-Martin space is denoted by  $H$ . Recall that the injection  $H \hookrightarrow W$  is compact and its adjoint is the natural injection  $W^* \hookrightarrow H^* \subset L^2(\mu)$ . The triple  $(W, \mu, H)$  is called an abstract Wiener space. Recall that  $W = H$  if and only if  $W$  is finite dimensional. A subspace  $F$  of  $H$  is called regular if the corresponding orthogonal projection has a continuous extension to  $W$ , denoted again by the same letter. It is well-known that there exists an increasing sequence of regular subspaces  $(F_n, n \geq 1)$ , called total, such that  $\cup_n F_n$  is dense in  $H$  and in  $W$ . Let  $V_n$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\pi_{F_n}$ , then for any  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ , the martingale sequence  $(E[f|V_n], n \geq 1)$  converges to  $f$  (strongly if  $p < \infty$ ) in  $L^p(\mu)$ . Observe that the function  $f_n = E[f|V_n]$  can be identified with a function on the finite dimensional abstract Wiener space  $(F_n, \mu_n, F_n)$ , where  $\mu_n = \pi_n\mu$ .

Since the translations of  $\mu$  with the elements of  $H$  induce measures equivalent to  $\mu$ , the Gâteaux derivative in  $H$  direction of the random variables is a closable operator on  $L^p(\mu)$ -spaces and this closure will be denoted by  $\nabla$  cf., for example [15]. The corresponding Sobolev spaces (the equivalence classes) of the real random variables will be denoted as  $\mathbb{D}_{p,k}$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is the order of differentiability and  $p > 1$  is the order of integrability. If the random variables are with values in some separable Hilbert space, say  $\Phi$ , then we shall define similarly the corresponding Sobolev spaces and they are denoted as  $\mathbb{D}_{p,k}(\Phi)$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\nabla : \mathbb{D}_{p,k} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{p,k-1}(H)$  is a continuous and linear operator its adjoint is a well-defined operator which we represent by  $\delta$ . In the case of classical Wiener space, i.e., when  $W = C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $\delta$  coincides with the Itô integral of the Lebesgue density of the adapted elements of  $\mathbb{D}_{p,k}(H)$  (cf.[15]).

---

<sup>1</sup>The reader may assume that  $W = C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $d \geq 1$  or  $W = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

For any  $t \geq 0$  and measurable  $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , we note by

$$P_t f(x) = \int_W f\left(e^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}y\right) \mu(dy),$$

it is well-known that  $(P_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$  is a hypercontractive semigroup on  $L^p(\mu)$ ,  $p > 1$ , which is called the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup (cf.[15]). Its infinitesimal generator is denoted by  $-\mathcal{L}$  and we call  $\mathcal{L}$  the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator (sometimes called the number operator by the physicists). Due to the Meyer inequalities (cf., for instance [15]), the norms defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \|\varphi\|_{p,k} = \|(I + \mathcal{L})^{k/2} \varphi\|_{L^p(\mu)}$$

are equivalent to the norms defined by the iterates of the Sobolev derivative  $\nabla$ . This observation permits us to identify the duals of the space  $\mathbb{D}_{p,k}(\Phi)$ ;  $p > 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by  $\mathbb{D}_{q,-k}(\Phi')$ , with  $q^{-1} = 1 - p^{-1}$ , where the latter space is defined by replacing  $k$  in (2.3) by  $-k$ , this gives us the distribution spaces on the Wiener space  $W$  (in fact we can take as  $k$  any real number). An easy calculation shows that, formally,  $\delta \circ \nabla = \mathcal{L}$ , and this permits us to extend the divergence and the derivative operators to the distributions as linear, continuous operators. In fact  $\delta : \mathbb{D}_{q,k}(H \otimes \Phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{q,k-1}(\Phi)$  and  $\nabla : \mathbb{D}_{q,k}(\Phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{q,k-1}(H \otimes \Phi)$  continuously, for any  $q > 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $H \otimes \Phi$  denotes the completed Hilbert-Schmidt tensor product (cf., for instance [15]). The following assertion is useful: assume that  $(Z_n, n \geq 1) \subset \mathbb{D}'$  converges to  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{D}'$ , assume further that each  $Z_n$  is a probability measure on  $W$ , then  $Z$  is also a probability and  $(Z_n, n \geq 1)$  converges to  $Z$  in the weak topology of measures. In particular, a lower bounded distribution (in the sense that there exists a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Z + c$  is a positive distribution) is a (Radon) measure on  $W$ , c.f. [15].

A measurable function  $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  is called  $H$ -convex (cf.[7]) if

$$h \rightarrow f(x + h)$$

is convex  $\mu$ -almost surely, i.e., if for any  $h, k \in H$ ,  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $s + t = 1$ , we have

$$f(x + sh + tk) \leq sf(x + h) + tf(x + k),$$

almost surely, where the negligible set on which this inequality fails may depend on the choice of  $s, h$  and of  $k$ . We can rephrase this property by saying that  $h \rightarrow (x \rightarrow f(x + h))$  is an  $L^0(\mu)$ -valued convex function on  $H$ .  $f$  is called 1-convex if the map

$$h \rightarrow \left( x \rightarrow f(x + h) + \frac{1}{2}|h|_H^2 \right)$$

is convex on the Cameron-Martin space  $H$  with values in  $L^0(\mu)$ . Note that all these notions are compatible with the  $\mu$ -equivalence classes of random variables thanks to the Cameron-Martin theorem. It is proven in [7] that this definition is equivalent the following condition: Let  $(\pi_n, n \geq 1)$  be a sequence of regular, finite dimensional, orthogonal projections of  $H$ , increasing to the identity map  $I_H$ . Denote also by  $\pi_n$  its continuous extension to  $W$  and define  $\pi_n^\perp = I_W - \pi_n$ . For  $x \in W$ , let  $x_n = \pi_n x$  and  $x_n^\perp = \pi_n^\perp x$ . Then  $f$  is 1-convex if and only if

$$x_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}|x_n|_H^2 + f(x_n + x_n^\perp)$$

is  $\pi_n^\perp \mu$ -almost surely convex. We define similarly the notion of  $H$ -concave and  $H$ -log-concave functions. In particular, one can prove that, for any  $H$ -log-concave function  $f$  on  $W$ ,  $P_t f$  and  $E[f|V_n]$  are again  $H$ -log-concave [7].

### 3. Variational calculations

Assume for a while that  $\varphi \in \mathbb{D}_{2,1}$  is smooth; this can be achieved by replacing  $f$  by its regularization defined as

$$e^{-f_n} = E[P_{1/n} e^{-f} | V_n],$$

where  $(P_t, t \geq 0)$  is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semi-group,  $V_n$  is the sigma-algebra generated by  $\{\delta e_1, \dots, \delta e_n\}$  and  $(e_n, n \geq 1)$  is a complete, orthonormal basis of  $H$ . Since  $J_f^* = J(\nabla \varphi)$ , if we take the Gateau derivative of  $J$  at  $\nabla \varphi$ , it should give zero: Let  $L = (E[-f])^{-1} e^{-f}$  and denote by  $\Lambda$  the Gaussian Jacobian of  $I_W + \nabla \varphi$ :

$$\Lambda = \det_2(I_H + \nabla^2 \varphi) \exp\left(-\mathcal{L}\varphi - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi|_H^2\right)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator  $\mathcal{L} = \delta \circ \nabla$ ,  $\det_2$  denotes the modified Carleman-Fredholm determinant,  $\delta = \nabla^*$  where the adjoint is taken w.r.t. the Wiener measure  $\mu$ , c.f. [19]. It follows from the change of variables formula, c.f.[19], that  $L \circ (I_W + \nabla \varphi) \Lambda = 1$ , hence

$$H((I_W + \nabla \varphi)\mu | \mu) = E\left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi|_H^2 - \log \det_2(I_H + \nabla^2 \varphi)\right].$$

In particular, thanks to the 1-convexity of  $\varphi$ , if we replace  $\varphi$  by  $t\varphi$ , for small  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the shift  $T_t = I_W + t\nabla \varphi$  becomes strongly monotone and it is the solution of the Monge transportation problem for the measure  $\nu_t = T_t \mu$  (i.e., the image of  $\mu$  under  $T_t$ ). Let  $f_t$  be defined as

$$L_t = \frac{d\nu_t}{d\mu} = ce^{-f_t}.$$

If  $\xi \in \mathbb{D}_{2,1}(H)$  such that  $\nabla \xi$  has small  $L^\infty$ -norm as a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, then  $T_{t,\varepsilon} = I_W + t\nabla \varphi + \varepsilon \xi$  is a strongly monotone shift for small  $t, \varepsilon > 0$ , hence it is almost-surely invertible (cf. [19], Corollary 6.4.2). Note moreover that the shift  $I_W + t\nabla \varphi$  is the unique solution of another Monge problem, namely the one which corresponds to the measure  $ce^{-f_t} d\mu$ . Here the multiplication with a small  $t$  permits us to have a sufficiently large set on which we calculate the Gateau derivative while preserving the 1-convexity of the corresponding Monge potential, namely  $t\varphi$ . Using again the change of variables formula for  $T_{t,\varepsilon}$ , we get

$$H(T_{t,\varepsilon}\mu | \mu) = E\left[\frac{1}{2} |t\nabla \varphi + \varepsilon \xi|_H^2 - \log \det_2(I_H + t\nabla^2 \varphi + \varepsilon \nabla^2 \xi)\right].$$

Therefore

$$J_t(t\nabla \varphi + \varepsilon \xi) = E\left[f_t \circ T_{t,\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} |t\nabla \varphi + \varepsilon \xi|_H^2 - \log \det_2(I_H + t\nabla^2 \varphi + \varepsilon \nabla^2 \xi)\right].$$

Since  $t\nabla \varphi$  minimizes the function  $J_t$  between all the absolutely continuous shifts, we should have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad & \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} J_t(t\nabla \varphi + \varepsilon \xi)|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= E[(t\nabla \varphi, \xi)_H - \text{trace}(((I + t\nabla^2 \varphi)^{-1} - I) \cdot (\nabla \xi)) + (\nabla f_t \circ (I_W + t\nabla \varphi), \xi)_H] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{D}_{2,1}(H)$  with  $\|\nabla \xi\|_2 \in L^\infty(\mu)$ . Since the set of vector fields

$$\Theta = \{\xi \in \mathbb{D}_{2,1}(H) : \|\nabla \xi\|_2 \in L^\infty(\mu)\}$$

is dense in any  $L^p(\mu, H)$ , we have proved the following

**Theorem 2.** *In the finite dimensional smooth case, the Monge potential  $\varphi$  satisfies the following relation*

$$\nabla\varphi + \nabla f \circ (I_W + \nabla\varphi) - \delta [(I_H + \nabla^2\varphi)^{-1} - I_H] = 0$$

*almost surely, where  $\delta$  denotes the Gaussian divergence w.r.t.  $\mu$ , i.e., the adjoint of  $\nabla$  w.r.t.  $\mu$ .*

**Proof:** In the equation (3.4) we have a term with trace, we just interpret it as a scalar product on the Hilbert-Schmidt operators on the Cameron-Martin space and the claim follows, for the case  $t\varphi$ , from the definition of  $\delta$  as a mapping from Hilbert-Schmidt-valued operators to the vector fields under this scalar product. Hence we have the identity

$$(3.5) \quad t\nabla\varphi + \nabla f_t \circ (I_W + t\nabla\varphi) - \delta [(I_H + t\nabla^2\varphi)^{-1} - I_H] = 0.$$

Since we have  $\Lambda_t ce^{-f_t \circ T_t} = 1$  a.s., where  $\Lambda_t = \det_2(I_H + t\nabla^2\varphi) \exp(-t\mathcal{L}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}|t\nabla\varphi|_H^2)$  and  $T_t = I_W + t\nabla\varphi$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \nabla f_t \circ T_t = \nabla f \circ T$  in probability, where  $T = T_1 = I_W + \nabla\varphi$ . The justification of the other terms being trivial, the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $K = (I_H + \nabla^2\varphi)^{-1}$  and let  $e$  be any fixed element of the Cameron-Martin space  $H$ , then we have almost surely*

$$\text{trace}(K\nabla^3\varphi Ke \cdot K\nabla^3\varphi Ke) \geq 0.$$

**Proof:** Let  $A = \nabla^3\varphi Ke$ , this is a symmetric operator; as  $K$  is a positive operator, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(KAKA) &= \text{trace}(K^{1/2}AK^{1/2}K^{1/2}AK^{1/2}) \\ &= \|K^{1/2}AK^{1/2}\|_2^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

trivially, where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be the Monge potential of Monge-Kantorovich problem with the target measure  $ce^{-f}$ . Assume that  $\varphi$  is smooth as explained above, then we have*

$$(3.6) \quad E[\|(I + \nabla^2\varphi)^{-1} - I\|_2^2] \leq 2E[|\nabla\varphi|_H^2] + 2cE[|\nabla f|_H^2 e^{-f}],$$

*where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm on  $H \otimes H$ .*

**Proof:** The proof follows from the calculation of the second moment of the norm of a vector-valued divergence of Theorem 2 combined with the result of Lemma 1.  $\square$

The next two lemmas give useful stability results of the forward and backward potentials in the finite dimensional situations whenever the target measures are approximated with more regular measures. There are some results in the literature (cg. [5, 20]), but they are of limited applicability. sequel:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\beta$  be the standard Gaussian measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $f \in \mathbb{D}_{2,1}$  s.t.*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f|^2 e^{-f} d\beta < \infty.$$

*Let  $(\varphi, \psi)$  be the Monge potentials associated to the Monge-Kantorovich problem  $\Sigma(\beta, \nu)$ , where  $d\nu = ce^{-f}d\beta$ . Define  $f_n$  as to be  $Q_{1/n}e^{-f} = e^{-f_n}$ , where  $(Q_t, t \geq 0)$  denotes the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $(\varphi_n, \psi_n)$  be the Monge potentials corresponding to Monge-Kantorovich problem*

$\Sigma(\beta, \nu_n)$ , where  $d\nu_n = ce^{-f_n}d\beta$ . Then  $(\varphi_n, n \geq 1)$  converges to  $\varphi$  in  $\mathbb{D}_{2,1}$ ,  $(Q_{1/n}\psi_n, n \geq 1)$  converges to  $\psi$  in  $L^1(\nu)$  and  $(Q_{1/n}\nabla\psi_n, n \geq 1)$  converges to  $\nabla\psi$  in  $L^2(\nu, \mathbb{R}^d)$

**Proof:** Let  $\gamma_n, \gamma$  be the unique solutions of Monge-Kantorovitch problems for  $(\beta, \nu_n)$  and  $(\beta, \nu)$  respectively. From Brenier's theorem (cf.[3])

$$F_n(x, y) = \varphi_n(x) + \psi_n(y) + \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2 = 0 \quad \gamma_n - a.s.$$

and  $F_n(x, y) \geq 0$  for any  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . Similarly

$$F(x, y) = \varphi(x) + \psi(y) + \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2 = 0 \quad \gamma - a.s.,$$

and  $F(x, y) \geq 0$  for any  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . As  $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$  weakly and since  $(Q_{1/n}(|\cdot|^2)(x), n \geq 1)$  is exponentially integrable w.r.t.  $\beta$  uniformly in  $n \geq 1$ , it is easy to deduce, using the Young inequality, that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^2 d\nu_n(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^2 d\nu(y)$$

and this implies that (cf.[1], Lemma 8.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_n E_\beta[|\nabla\varphi_n|^2] &= \lim_n d_2(\beta, \nu_n)^2 \\ &= d_2(\beta, \nu)^2 \\ &= E_\beta[|\nabla\varphi|^2], \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_2$  denotes the second order Wasserstein distance on the probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . These relations imply that  $(\varphi_n, n \geq 1)$  is bounded in  $L^2(\gamma)$ . Moreover, we have

$$E_{\nu_n}[|\nabla\psi_n|^2] = E_\beta[|\nabla\psi_n|^2 Q_{1/n} e^{-f}] \geq E_\beta[|\nabla Q_{1/n}\psi_n|^2 e^{-f}].$$

By the boundedness of  $(\varphi_n, n \geq 1)$  in  $L^2(\beta)$  there exists  $a' \in L^2(\beta)$  such that  $(\varphi_n, n \geq 1)$  converges weakly to  $a'$  (upto a subsequence) in  $L^2(\beta)$ , hence also in  $L^2(\gamma)$ . In the sequel we replace  $\varphi_n$  by  $\varphi_n - E_\beta[\varphi_n]$  and  $Q_{1/n}\psi_n$  by  $Q_{1/n}\psi_n - E_\beta[\varphi_n]$  to avoid the ambiguities about the constants. We have

$$\varphi_n(x) + Q_{1/n}\psi_n(y) + \frac{1}{2} Q_{1/n}(|x - \cdot|^2)(y) \geq 0$$

for any  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_n \int \left( \varphi_n(x) + Q_{1/n}\psi_n(y) + \frac{1}{2} Q_{1/n}(|x - \cdot|^2)(y) \right) d\gamma &= \lim_n \left( \int \varphi_n d\beta + \int \psi_n d\nu_n \right) + \frac{1}{2} d_2(\beta, \nu) \\ &= \lim_n \left( -\frac{1}{2} d_2(\beta, \nu_n) + \frac{1}{2} d_2(\beta, \nu) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(\varphi_n(x) + Q_{1/n}\psi_n(y) + \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2, n \geq 1)$  converges to 0 in  $L^1(\gamma)$ , consequently  $(Q_{1/n}\psi_n, n \geq 1)$  is also uniformly integrable in  $L^1(\gamma)$ , therefore there exists some  $b' \in L^1(\nu)$  which is a weak adherent point of  $(Q_{1/n}\psi_n, n \geq 1)$ . Therefore

$$a'(x) + b'(y) + \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2 = 0$$

$\gamma$ -a.s. Let  $(\varphi'_n, n \geq 1)$  and  $(Q_{1/n}\psi'_n, n \geq 1)$  be the convex combinations of the sequences  $(\varphi_n)$  and  $(Q_{1/n}\psi_n)$  respectively, which converge strongly in  $L^2(\gamma)$  and  $L^1(\gamma)$  respectively. Let  $a(x) = \limsup_n \varphi'_n(x)$  and  $b(y) = \limsup_n Q_{1/n}\psi'_n(y)$ . We have then

$$a(x) + b(y) + \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2 \geq 0$$

for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  and

$$a(x) + b(y) + \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2 = 0$$

$\gamma$ -almost surely. By the uniqueness of the solution of the Monge-Kantorovitch problem we should have  $a = \varphi$  and  $b = \psi$   $\gamma$ -a.s. Assume now that  $\tilde{a}$  is another weak cluster point of  $(\varphi_n, n \geq 1)$ , then  $\nabla \tilde{a}(x) = y - x$   $\gamma$ -a.s., hence  $a = \tilde{a} = \varphi$   $\gamma$ -a.s. Hence  $(\varphi_n, n \geq 1)$  converges to  $\varphi$  in  $\mathbb{D}_{2,1}$ . Similarly  $(Q_{1/n}\psi_n, n \geq 1)$  converges to  $\psi$  in  $L^1(\nu)$ , moreover  $\nabla$  is closable on  $L^p(\nu)$ ,  $p \geq 1$  and  $\lim_n E_\nu[|\nabla Q_{1/n}\psi_n|^2] = E_\nu[|\nabla \psi|_H^2]$  and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\beta$  be the standard Gaussian measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $L \in L^1(\beta)$  be a probability density such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L \log L d\beta < \infty.$$

*Let  $(\varphi, \psi)$  be the Monge potentials associated to the Monge-Kantorovitch problem  $\Sigma(\beta, \nu)$ , where  $d\nu = Ld\beta$ . Define  $L_n = c_n L \theta_n(L)$  as another density, where  $\theta_n \in C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is approximating the constant 1. Let  $(\varphi_n, \psi_n)$  be the Monge potentials corresponding to Monge-Kantorovitch problem with quadratic cost over  $\Sigma(\beta, \nu_n)$ . Then  $(\varphi_n, n \geq 1)$  converges to  $\varphi$  in  $\mathbb{D}_{2,1}$ ,  $(\psi_n, n \geq 1)$  converges to  $\psi$  in  $L^1(\nu)$ .*

**Proof:** The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2. Let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_n$  be the transport plans corresponding to the Monge-Kantorovitch problems for  $(\beta, \nu)$  and  $(\beta, \nu_n)$  respectively. As in the Lemma 2, we have

$$F_n(x, y) = \varphi_n(x) + \psi_n(y) + \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2 = 0 \quad \gamma_n - a.s.$$

and  $F_n(x, y) \geq 0$  for any  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . Since

$$\lim_n \int |x - y|^2 d\gamma_n(x, y) = \int |x - y|^2 d\gamma(x, y),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_n \int F_n d\gamma &= \lim_n \left( \int \varphi_n d\beta + \int \psi_n L_n d\beta + \frac{1}{2} \int |x - y|^2 d(\gamma - \gamma_n) \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

By positivity, we deduce that  $(\varphi_n, n \geq 1)$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{D}_{2,1}$  and that  $(c_n \psi_n \theta_n(L), n \geq 1)$  is uniformly integrable in  $L^1(\nu)$ . Hence there are weak some adherence points of  $(\varphi_n, n \geq 1)$  in  $L^2(\beta)$  denoted as  $a'$  and of  $(c_n \psi_n \theta_n(L), n \geq 1)$  in  $L^1(\nu)$  denoted as  $b'$  such that

$$a'(x) + b'(y) + \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2 = 0,$$

$\gamma$ -a.s. By taking convex combinations, we can assume these convergences to be in the strong sense. Let us define  $a$  and  $b$  as  $a(x) = \limsup_n co(\varphi_n)$  and  $b(y) = \limsup_n co(c_n\psi_n\theta_n(L))$ , where  $co$  denotes convex combinations, we obtain

$$a(x) + b(y) + \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2 \geq 0$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and that

$$a(x) + b(y) + \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2 = 0$$

$\gamma$ -a.s. By uniqueness, we should have  $a = \varphi$  and  $b = \psi$   $\gamma$ -a.s. Since this construction holds for any infinite subsequences of  $(\varphi_n)$  and  $(c_n\theta_n(L)\psi_n)$ , these sequences have unique weak accumulation points, i.e., they converge weakly in  $\mathbb{D}_{2,1}$  and in  $L^1(\nu)$  respectively. Moreover we know that  $\lim_n E_\beta[|\nabla\varphi_n|^2] = E_\beta[|\varphi|^2]$ , hence  $(\varphi_n)$  converges strongly in  $\mathbb{D}_{2,1}$  and hence  $(c_n\theta_n(L)\psi_n)$  strongly in  $L^1(\nu)$ .  $\square$

After these preparations, we can prove our first regularity result:

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that  $T = I_W + \nabla\varphi$  is the Monge-Brenier map which is the solution of Monge problem with the quadratic cost  $c(x, y) = |x - y|_H^2$  where  $|\cdot|_H$  is the norm of the Cameron-Martin space and with the target measure  $d\nu = c^{-1}e^{-f}d\mu$  with  $f \in \mathbb{D}_{p,1}$  for some  $p > 1$  and let  $S = I_W + \nabla\psi$  be the inverse map which maps  $\nu$  to  $\mu$ . Assume that*

$$(3.7) \quad \int_W |\nabla f|_H^2 e^{-f} d\mu < \infty.$$

*Then we have  $\nabla^2\psi \in L^2(\nu, H \otimes H)$ , where  $H \otimes H$  denotes the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on  $H$ . In other words  $\psi$  belongs to the  $L^2(\nu)$ -domain of  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla\psi$  belongs to  $L^2(\nu)$ -domain of  $\nabla$  again. Besides we have the following control:*

$$(3.8) \quad E_\nu[|\nabla^2\psi|_2^2] \leq 2E[|\nabla f|_H^2 e^{-f}] + 2E[|\nabla\varphi|_H^2].$$

**Proof:** Note that the relation (3.7) implies the closability of the gradient operator  $\nabla$  in  $L^2(\nu)$ , hence  $\nabla\psi$  and  $\nabla^2\psi$  are well-defined. For the proof, let  $f_n$  be defined as before with the relation  $e^{-f_{n,\varepsilon}} = E[P_\varepsilon e^{-f} | V_n]$ . Let us denote by  $\varphi_{n,\varepsilon}$  and by  $\psi_{n,\varepsilon}$  forward and backward Monge potentials corresponding to the measure  $d\nu_{n,\varepsilon} = e^{-f_{n,\varepsilon}} d\mu$ . From Proposition 1, we have

$$(3.9) \quad E[|(I_H + \nabla^2\varphi_{n,\varepsilon})^{-1} - I_H|_2^2] \leq 2E[|\nabla\varphi_{n,\varepsilon}|_H^2] + 2cE[|\nabla f_{n,\varepsilon}|_H^2 e^{-f_{n,\varepsilon}}].$$

Since  $T_{n,\varepsilon} = I_W + \nabla\varphi_{n,\varepsilon}$  and  $S_{n,\varepsilon} = I_W + \nabla\psi_{n,\varepsilon}$  are inverse to each other, we have

$$(I_H + \nabla^2\varphi_{n,\varepsilon})^{-1} = (I_H + \nabla\psi_{n,\varepsilon}) \circ T_{n,\varepsilon}.$$

Substituting this identity in (3.9), we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad E[|\nabla^2\psi_{n,\varepsilon}|_2^2 e^{-f_{n,\varepsilon}}] \leq 2E[|\nabla\varphi_{n,\varepsilon}|_H^2] + 2cE[|\nabla f_{n,\varepsilon}|_H^2 e^{-f_{n,\varepsilon}}].$$

Let  $(\varphi_n, \psi_n)$  be the Monge potentials corresponding to the transportation of  $d\mu$  to the measure  $d\nu_n = E[e^{-f} | V_n] d\mu$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that  $(\nabla P_\varepsilon \psi_{n,\varepsilon}, \varepsilon > 0, n \geq 1)$  converges to  $\nabla\psi$  in

$L^1(\nu, H)$  and that  $(\nabla\varphi_{n,\varepsilon}, \varepsilon > 0, n \geq 1)$  converges to  $\nabla\varphi$  in  $L^2(\mu, H)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n,\varepsilon} E[\|\nabla^2 P_\varepsilon \psi_n\|_2^2 e^{-f_n}] &\leq \sup_{n,\varepsilon} e^{-2\varepsilon} E[P_\varepsilon \|\nabla^2 \psi_{n,\varepsilon}\|_2^2 e^{-f_n}] \\ &= \sup_{n,\varepsilon} E[\|\nabla^2 \psi_{n,\varepsilon}\|_2^2 e^{-f_n, \varepsilon}] \\ &\leq 2E[|\nabla f|_H^2 e^{-f}] + 2E[|\nabla \varphi|_H^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, taking weak limits first as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain, due to the weak lower semi-continuity of the norms, that

$$E_\nu[\|\nabla^2 \psi\|_2^2] \leq 2E[|\nabla f|_H^2 e^{-f}] + 2E[|\nabla \varphi|_H^2].$$

□

Let us show now the regularity of the forward Monge potential  $\varphi$ : assume first that, we have reduced the problem to the case where everything is smooth using the approximation results that we have proven before. Let  $\nu$  be the measure defined by  $d\nu = e^{-f} d\mu$ . The following relation holds then true:

$$(3.11) \quad -\log \nu(e^f) = \inf_\alpha \left( \int -f d\alpha + H(\alpha|\nu) \right)$$

$$(3.12) \quad = \inf_U \left( \int -f \circ U d\nu + H(U\nu|\nu) \right).$$

It is important to remark that in the equation 3.11, the infimum is taken over the set of probability measures and in the equation 3.12, the infimum is taken over the perturbations of identity of the form  $U = I_W + u$  when  $u$  runs in the set of the gradients of 1-convex functions, cf. [9]. Moreover, denoting  $\frac{dU\mu}{d\nu}$  by  $l_U$ , we have

$$(l_U e^{-f}) \circ U \Lambda_u = e^{-f},$$

where  $\Lambda_u$  is the Gaussian Jacobian associated to  $U = I_W + u$ . Therefore  $\log l_U \circ U = f \circ u - \log \Lambda_u - f$  and we get

$$\begin{aligned} H(U\nu|\nu) &= \int (f \circ U - \log \Lambda_u - f) d\nu \\ &= \int (f \circ U - \log \Lambda_u - f) e^{-f} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} -\log \nu(e^f) &= \inf_U \left( \int -f \circ U d\nu + \int f \circ U e^{-f} d\mu - \int (f + \log \Lambda_u) e^{-f} d\mu \right) \\ &= \inf_U \left( - \int f e^{-f} d\mu - \int \log \Lambda_u e^{-f} d\mu \right) \\ &= \inf_U J_b(U). \end{aligned}$$

We know that the above infimum is attained at  $S = T^{-1} = I_W + \nabla\psi$ , hence we should have

$$J'_b(S) \cdot \xi = \frac{d}{d\lambda} J_b(S + \lambda\xi)|_{\lambda=0} = 0$$

for any smooth  $\xi : W \rightarrow H$  such that  $\|\nabla \xi\|_2 \in L^\infty(\mu)$ . A similar calculation as performed before implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda} J_b(S + \lambda \xi)|_{\lambda=0} &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( \int -\log \Lambda_{S+\lambda \xi} d\nu \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0} \\ &= \int [-\operatorname{trace} ((I + \nabla^2 \psi)^{-1} - I) \cdot \nabla \xi + \delta \xi + (\nabla \psi, \xi)] d\nu \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\xi$  as above. Consequently we have

**Theorem 4.** *The dual Monge potential satisfies the relation*

$$\delta_\nu((I + \nabla^2 \psi)^{-1} - I) = \nabla \psi + \nabla f,$$

where  $\delta_\nu$  denotes the adjoint of  $\nabla$  w.r.t. the measure  $\nu$ .

We need a couple of technical results:

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\xi : W \rightarrow H$  be a smooth vector field, then the following results hold true:*

- (1)  $\delta_\nu \xi = \delta \xi + (\nabla f, \xi)_H$ .
- (2) For any  $h \in H$ ,

$$E_\nu[(\delta_\nu h)^2] = E_\nu[|h|^2 + (\nabla^2 f, h \otimes h)].$$

- (3) For any  $h \in H$  and smooth  $\alpha : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$E_\nu[\alpha(\delta_\nu h)^2] = E_\nu[(\alpha I_H + \nabla^2 \alpha + \alpha \nabla^2 f, h \otimes h)_{H^{\otimes 2}}].$$

**Lemma 5.** *For any smooth  $\xi : W \rightarrow H$ , we have*

$$E_\nu[(\delta_\nu \xi)^2] = E_\nu[(I_H + \nabla^2 f, \xi \otimes \xi)_{H^{\otimes 2}} + \operatorname{trace}(\nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \xi)].$$

**Proof:** By the definition of  $\delta_\nu$ , we have

$$E_\nu[\alpha(\delta_\nu h)^2] = E_\nu[|\xi|^2 + (\xi, \delta \otimes \nabla \xi) + (\xi, \nabla(\nabla f, \xi))].$$

Besides  $(\xi, \delta \otimes \nabla \xi) = \delta \nabla_\xi \xi + \operatorname{trace}(\nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \xi)$  (cf.[19]). Hence

$$E_\nu[\alpha(\delta_\nu h)^2] = E_\nu[|\xi|^2 + \delta \nabla_\xi \xi + \operatorname{trace}(\nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \xi) + \delta_\nu \xi (\nabla f, \xi)].$$

We also have

$$\delta \nabla_\xi \xi = \delta_\nu \nabla_\xi \xi - (\nabla f, \nabla_\xi \xi).$$

Substituting this expression in the above calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} E_\nu[(\delta_\nu \xi)^2] &= E_\nu[|\xi|_H^2 + \delta_\nu \xi (\nabla f, \xi) - (\nabla f, \nabla_\xi \xi)_H + \operatorname{trace}(\nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \xi) \\ &\quad + \delta_\nu \xi (\nabla f, \xi)_H] \\ &= E_\nu[|\xi|_H^2 - (\nabla f, \nabla_\xi \xi)_H + \operatorname{trace}(\nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \xi) + (\xi, \nabla(\nabla f, \xi)_H)_H] \\ &= E_\nu[|\xi|_H^2 + \operatorname{trace}(\nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \xi) - (\nabla f, \nabla_\xi \xi)_H + (\nabla_\xi \nabla f, \xi)_H + (\nabla f, \nabla_\xi \xi)_H] \\ &= E_\nu[|\xi|_H^2 + \operatorname{trace}(\nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \xi) + (\nabla_\xi \nabla f, \xi)_H] \\ &= E_\nu[|\xi|_H^2 + \operatorname{trace}(\nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \xi) + (\nabla^2 f, \xi \otimes \xi)_{H^{\otimes 2}}]. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 5.** Assume that  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  for some  $p > 1$ , satisfying  $E[|\nabla f|_H^2 e^{-f}] < \infty$ . Assume moreover that it is  $(1 - \varepsilon)$ -convex for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , in the sense that the mapping

$$h \rightarrow \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2} |h|_H^2 + f(w + h)$$

is a convex map from the Cameron-Martin space  $H$  to  $L^0(\mu)$  (i.e., the equivalence class of real-valued Wiener functionals under the topology of convergence in probability). Then the forward Monge potential  $\varphi$  belongs to the Gaussian Sobolev space  $\mathbb{D}_{2,2}$ .

**Proof:** let  $f_n, n \geq 1$  be defined as  $e^{-f_n} = P_{1/n} E[e^{-f} | V_n]$ . Since  $f_n$  is a smooth, 1-convex function, the corresponding forward potential  $\varphi_n$  is also smooth from the classical finite dimensional results (cf. [4], [20]). Let  $d\nu_n = e^{-f_n} d\mu$ , then we have, from Theorem 4

$$\delta_{\nu_n}((I_H + \nabla^2 \psi_n)^{-1} - I_H) = \nabla \psi_n + \nabla f_n.$$

From Lemma 5 and denoting  $(I_H + \nabla^2 \psi_n)^{-1}$  by  $M_n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\nu_n} [|\delta_{\nu_n}((I_H + \nabla^2 \psi_n)^{-1} - I_H)|_H^2] &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\nu_n} [(\delta_{\nu_n}(M_n - I)(e_k))^2] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\nu_n} [(I_H + \nabla^2 f_n, (M_n - I_H)e_k \otimes (M_n - I_H)e_k)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\nu_n} [\text{trace}(\nabla(M_n e_k) \cdot (\nabla M_n e_k))]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the second terms at the right of the second line is positive (as we have already observed), we obtain

$$E_{\nu_n} [|\delta_{\nu_n}(M_n - I_H)|_H^2] \geq \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\nu_n} [(M_n - I_H)e_k|_H^2].$$

Hence

$$\varepsilon E_{\nu_n} [\|(I_H + \nabla^2 \psi_n)^{-1} - I_H\|_2^2] \leq 2E_{\nu_n} [|\nabla \psi_n|_H^2 + |\nabla f_n|_H^2],$$

but  $E_{\nu_n} [|\nabla \psi_n|_H^2] = E[|\nabla \varphi_n|_H^2]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\nu_n} [\|(I_H + \nabla^2 \psi_n)^{-1} - I_H\|_2^2] &= E[\|(I_H + \nabla^2 \psi_n)^{-1} \circ T_n - I_H\|_2^2] \\ &= E[\|\nabla^2 \varphi_n\|_2^2]. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{\nu_n} [|\nabla f_n|_H^2] &= 4E[|\nabla e^{-f_n/2}|^2] \\
 &= 4E\left[\frac{1}{e^{-f_n}} |\nabla f_n e^{-f_n}|^2\right] \\
 &= 4E\left[\frac{1}{e^{-f_n}} |\nabla e^{-f_n}|^2\right] \\
 &= 4E\left[\frac{1}{e^{-f_n}} |\nabla P_{1/n} E[e^{-f} | V_n]|^2\right] \\
 &\leq 4e^{-1/n} E\left[\frac{1}{e^{-f_n}} |P_{1/n} E[\nabla e^{-f} | V_n]|^2\right] \\
 &\leq 4e^{-1/n} E\left[\frac{1}{e^{-f_n}} P_{1/n}(E[|\nabla f|^2 e^{-f} | V_n]) P_{1/n} E[e^{-f} | V_n]\right] \\
 &= 4e^{-1/n} E[P_{1/n} E[|\nabla f|^2 e^{-f} | V_n]] \\
 &= 4e^{-1/n} E[|\nabla f|^2 e^{-f}].
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we get

$$\varepsilon E[\|\nabla^2 \varphi_n\|_2^2] \leq 2E[\|\nabla \varphi_n\|_H^2] + 8E[|\nabla f|^2 e^{-f}]$$

and the claim follows by taking the limit at the r.h.s. and the limit inferior at the l.h.s. even with an explicit bound:

$$\varepsilon E[\|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_2^2] \leq 2E[\|\nabla \varphi\|_H^2] + 8E[|\nabla f|^2 e^{-f}].$$

□

The next corollary follows from Theorem 3 and from Lemma 5 it is about the regularity of the dual potential  $\psi$ :

**Corollary 1.** *Assume that  $E[\|\nabla^2 f\|_\infty^2 e^{-f}] < \infty$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  denotes the operator norm on  $H$ . Then  $(\delta_\nu \circ \nabla)\psi = \mathcal{L}_\nu \psi$  belongs to  $L^2(\nu)$ .*

We can get rid of the hypothesis of the second order differentiability of  $f$  by increasing the degree of integrability of  $|\nabla f|_H$ . We show first

**Lemma 6.** *Assume that  $E_\nu[|\nabla f|_H^4] < \infty$ , then*

$$E_\nu[|\nabla \psi|_H^4] = E[|\nabla \varphi|_H^4] \leq c_4 E_\nu[|\nabla f|_H^4],$$

where  $c_4$  is a universal constant.

**Proof:** We can work without loss of generality on the classical Wiener space on which lives the canonical Brownian motion  $(W_t, t \in [0, 1])$ . Let  $d_4$  be the fourth order Wasserstein distance, i.e.,

$$d_4^4(\alpha, \beta) = \inf \left( \int |x - y|_H^4 d\gamma(x, y) : \gamma \in \Sigma(\alpha, \beta) \right)$$

Let  $L = ce^{-f}$ , we can represent it as  $L = \exp(-\int_0^1 \langle \dot{v}_s, dW_s \rangle - \int_0^1 |\dot{v}_s|^2 ds)$  using the Itô representation theorem, where  $(\dot{v}_t, t \in [0, 1])$  is an element of  $L^2(\mu, L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d))$  such that  $w \rightarrow \dot{v}_t(w)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Moreover, from the Clark formula, we have

$$\dot{v}_t = E_\nu[D_t f | \mathcal{F}_t],$$

where  $D_t f$  is the Lebesgue density of  $\nabla f$ . Define  $V : W \rightarrow W$  as  $V(w) = w + \int_0^1 \dot{v}_s ds$ , the Girsanov theorem implies that the measure  $(V \times I_W)(Ld\mu)$  belongs to  $\Sigma(\mu, \nu)$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_4^4(\mu, \nu) &\leq \int_W |V(x) - x|_H^4 Ld\mu = \int_W \left( \int_0^1 |\dot{v}_s|^2 ds \right)^2 d\nu \\ &= E_\nu \left[ \left( \int_0^1 |\dot{v}_s|^2 ds \right)^2 \right] \\ &= E_\nu \left[ \left( \int_0^1 |E_\nu[D_s f | \mathcal{F}_s]|^2 ds \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq c_4 E_\nu[|\nabla f|_H^4], \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_4$  is a universal constant coming from the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality. By the cyclic monotonicity of the  $H$ -sections of  $\psi$ , we know that  $(I \times (I_W + \nabla \varphi))(\mu) = ((I_W + \nabla \psi) \times I_W)(\nu)$  is the unique solution of the Monge-Kantorovitch problem of fourth degree, hence  $E_\nu[|\nabla \psi|_H^4] = d_4^4(\mu, \nu)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** Assume that  $E_\nu[|\nabla f|^4] = E[e^{-f} |\nabla f|^4] < \infty$ , then  $E_\nu[|\mathcal{L}_\nu \psi|^2] < \infty$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(f_n, n \geq 1)$  be the smooth approximations of  $f$  defined as  $e^{-f_n} = P_{1/n} E[e^{-f} | V_n]$  and let  $(\psi_n, n \geq 1)$  be the corresponding dual Monge potentials converging to  $\psi$  in  $L^1(\nu)$  s.t.  $\lim_n E_\nu[|\nabla \psi_n - \nabla \psi|^2] = 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\nu_n}[(\nabla^2 f_n, \nabla \psi_n \otimes \nabla \psi_n)_2] &= E_{\nu_n}[(\nabla f_n, \delta_{\nu_n}(\nabla \psi_n \otimes \nabla \psi_n))] \\ &= E_{\nu_n}[(\nabla f_n, \nabla \psi_n) \mathcal{L}_{\nu_n} \psi_n - (\nabla f_n \otimes \nabla \psi_n, \nabla^2 \psi_n)_2] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} E_{\nu_n}[(\nabla f_n, \nabla \psi_n)^2] \\ &\quad + \varepsilon E_{\nu_n}[(\mathcal{L}_{\nu_n} \psi_n)^2] + E_{\nu_n}[|\nabla f_n|^2 |\nabla \psi_n|_H^2]^{1/2} E_{\nu_n}[\|\nabla^2 \psi_n\|_2^2]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$  denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product. Applying again the Hölder inequality to the remaining terms and using the formula given in Lemma 5, and applying Lemma 6, we get

$$(3.13) \quad (1 - \varepsilon) E_{\nu_n}[(\mathcal{L}_{\nu_n} \psi_n)^2] \leq c_4^{1/2} E_{\nu_n}[|\nabla f_n|_H^4] \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + E_{\nu_n}[\|\nabla^2 \psi_n\|_2^2] \right) + E_{\nu_n}[\|\nabla^2 \psi_n\|_2^2].$$

Since  $(P_{1/n} \mathcal{L}_{\nu_n} \psi_n, n \geq 1)$  converges to  $\mathcal{L}_\nu \psi$  on the smooth cylindrical functions, the inequality implies that this sequence is bounded in  $L^2(\nu)$ . Using the inequality that we have already proven:

$$E_\nu[\|\nabla^2 \psi\|_2^2] \leq 2(E_\nu[|\nabla f|_H^2] + E[|\nabla \varphi|_H^2])$$

and using the weak lower semi-continuity, we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad (1 - \varepsilon) E_\nu[(\mathcal{L}_\nu \psi)^2] \leq (2E_\nu[|\nabla f|_H^2] + 2E[|\nabla \varphi|_H^2])^{1/2} (1 + E_\nu[|\nabla f|_H^4]) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} E_\nu[|\nabla f|_H^4].$$

$\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] P.J. Bickel and D.A. Freedman: “Some asymptotic theory for the bootstrap”. *Ann. Statist.* 9(6), 1196-1217 (1981)
- [2] V. I. Bogachev and A. V. Kolesnikov: “Sobolev regularity for the Monge-Ampère equation in the Wiener space. *Kyoto J. Math.* 53, no. 4, 713-738 (2013).
- [3] Y. Brenier: “Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector valued functions”. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **44**, 375-417, 1991.
- [4] L. A. Caffarelli: “The regularity of mappings with a convex potential”. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* 5, 991-104 (1992)
- [5] V. Chernozhukov: “Uniform convergence of transport maps”. *arXiv:1412.8434v1*.
- [6] S. Fang and V. Nolot: “Sobolev estimates for optimal transport maps on Gaussian spaces”. *J. Funct. Anal.* 266, no. 8, 5045-5084 (2014).
- [7] D. Feyel and A.S. Üstünel. The notion of convexity and concavity on Wiener space. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 176, pp. 400-428, 2000.
- [8] D. Feyel and A. S. Üstünel: “Transport of measures on Wiener space and the Girsanov theorem”. *Comptes Rendus Mathématiques*, Vol. **334**, Issue 1, 1025-1028, 2002.
- [9] D. Feyel, A.S. Üstünel: Monge-Kantorovitch measure transportation and Monge-Ampère equation on Wiener space. *Probab. Theor. Relat. Fields*, **128**, no. 3, pp. 347-385, 2004.
- [10] D. Feyel and A. S. Üstünel: “Monge-Kantorovitch measure transportation, Monge-Ampère equation and the Itô calculus”. *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, Math. Soc. of Japan, Vol. **41**, p. 32-49, 2004. Mathematical Society of Japan.
- [11] D. Feyel and A. S. Üstünel: “Solution of the Monge-Ampère equation on Wiener space for general log-concave measures”. *J. Funct. Anal.* 232 (2006), no. 1, 295-5.
- [12] D. Feyel, A.S. Üstünel and M. Zakai: “Realization of Positive Random Variables via Absolutely Continuous Transformations of Measure on Wiener Space”. *Probability Surveys*, Vol. 3, (electronic) p.170-205, 2006.
- [13] R.J McCann: “Existence and uniqueness of monotone measure-preserving maps”. *Duke Math. J.* 80, 309-323 (1995)
- [14] S.T. Rachev and L. Rüschendorf: *Mass transportation problems*. Vol. I, II. Theory. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [15] A. S. Üstünel: *Introduction to Analysis on Wiener Space*. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1610. Springer, 1995.
- [16] A. S. Üstünel: *Analysis on Wiener Space and Applications*. Electronic text at the site *arXiv.org*. math. *arXiv:1003.1649*
- [17] A. S. Üstünel: “Entropy, invertibility and variational calculus of adapted shifts on Wiener space”. *J. Funct. Anal.* 257 (2009), no. 11, 3655-3689.
- [18] A. S. Üstünel: “Variational calculation of Laplace transforms via entropy on Wiener space and applications”. *J. Funct. Anal.* 267 (2014), no. 8, 3058-3083.
- [19] A. S. Üstünel and M. Zakai: *Transformation of Measure on Wiener Space*. Springer Verlag, 1999.
- [20] C. Villani: *Topics in Optimal Transportation*. Graduate Series in Math., **58**. Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.